

# Hybrid Inference

Frank Dellaert

January 2023

## 1 Hybrid Conditionals

Here we develop a hybrid conditional density, on continuous variables (typically a measurement  $x$ ), given a mix of continuous variables  $y$  and discrete variables  $m$ . We start by reviewing a Gaussian conditional density and its invariants (relationship between density, error, and normalization constant), and then work out what needs to happen for a hybrid version.

### GaussianConditional

A *GaussianConditional* is a properly normalized, multivariate Gaussian conditional density:

$$P(x|y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|2\pi\Sigma|}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \|Rx + Sy - d\|_{\Sigma}^2 \right\}$$

where  $R$  is square and upper-triangular. For every *GaussianConditional*, we have the following **invariant**,

$$\log P(x|y) = K_{gc} - E_{gc}(x, y), \quad (1)$$

with the **log-normalization constant**  $K_{gc}$  equal to

$$K_{gc} = \log \frac{1}{\sqrt{|2\pi\Sigma|}} \quad (2)$$

and the **error**  $E_{gc}(x, y)$  equal to the negative log-density, up to a constant:

$$E_{gc}(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} \|Rx + Sy - d\|_{\Sigma}^2. \quad (3)$$

.

### GaussianMixture

A *GaussianMixture* (maybe to be renamed to *GaussianMixtureComponent*) just indexes into a number of *GaussianConditional* instances, that are each properly normalized:

$$P(x|y, m) = P_m(x|y).$$

We store one *GaussianConditional*  $P_m(x|y)$  for every possible assignment  $m$  to a set of discrete variables. As *GaussianMixture* is a *Conditional*, it needs to satisfy the a similar invariant to (1):

$$\log P(x|y, m) = K_{gm} - E_{gm}(x, y, m). \quad (4)$$

If we take the log of  $P(x|y, m)$  we get

$$\log P(x|y, m) = \log P_m(x|y) = K_{gcm} - E_{gcm}(x, y). \quad (5)$$

The key point here is that  $K_{gm}$  is the log-normalization constant for the complete *GaussianMixture* across all values of  $m$ , and is not dependent on the value of  $m$ . In contrast,  $K_{gcm}$  is the log-normalization constant for a specific *GaussianConditional* mode (thus dependent on  $m$ ) and can have differing values based on the covariance matrices for each mode. Thus to obtain a constant  $K_{gm}$  which satisfies the invariant, we need to specify  $E_{gm}(x, y, m)$  accordingly.

By equating (4) and (5), we see that this can be achieved by defining the error  $E_{gm}(x, y, m)$  as

$$E_{gm}(x, y, m) = E_{gcm}(x, y) + K_{gm} - K_{gcm} \quad (6)$$

where choose  $K_{gm} = \max K_{gcm}$ , as then the error will always be positive.

## 2 Hybrid Factors

In GTSAM, we typically condition on known measurements, and factors encode the resulting negative log-likelihood of the unknown variables  $y$  given the measurements  $x$ . We review how a Gaussian conditional density is converted into a Gaussian factor, and then develop a hybrid version satisfying the correct invariants as well.

### JacobianFactor

A *JacobianFactor* typically results from a *GaussianConditional* by having known values  $\bar{x}$  for the “measurement”  $x$ :

$$L(y) \propto P(\bar{x}|y) \quad (7)$$

In GTSAM factors represent the negative log-likelihood  $E_{jf}(y)$  and hence we have

$$E_{jf}(y) = -\log L(y) = C - \log P(\bar{x}|y),$$

with  $C$  the log of the proportionality constant in (7). Substituting in  $\log P(\bar{x}|y)$  from the invariant (1) we obtain

$$E_{jf}(y) = C - K_{gc} + E_{gc}(\bar{x}, y).$$

The *likelihood* function in *GaussianConditional* chooses  $C = K_{gc}$ , and the *JacobianFactor* does not store any constant; it just implements:

$$E_{jf}(y) = E_{gc}(\bar{x}, y) = \frac{1}{2} \|R\bar{x} + Sy - d\|_{\Sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \|Ay - b\|_{\Sigma}^2$$

with  $A = S$  and  $b = d - R\bar{x}$ .

### GaussianMixtureFactor

Analogously, a *GaussianMixtureFactor* typically results from a *GaussianMixture* by having known values  $\bar{x}$  for the “measurement”  $x$ :

$$L(y, m) \propto P(\bar{x}|y, m).$$

We will similarly implement the negative log-likelihood  $E_{mf}(y, m)$ :

$$E_{mf}(y, m) = -\log L(y, m) = C - \log P(\bar{x}|y, m).$$

Since we know the log-density from the invariant (4), we obtain

$$\log P(\bar{x}|y, m) = K_{gm} - E_{gm}(\bar{x}, y, m),$$

and hence

$$E_{mf}(y, m) = C + E_{gm}(\bar{x}, y, m) - K_{gm}.$$

Substituting in (6) we finally have an expression where  $K_{gm}$  canceled out, but we have a dependence on the individual component constants  $K_{gcm}$ :

$$E_{mf}(y, m) = C + E_{gcm}(\bar{x}, y) - K_{gcm} \quad (8)$$

Unfortunately, we can no longer choose  $C$  independently from  $m$  to make the constant disappear, since  $C$  has to be a constant applicable across all  $m$ .

There are two possibilities:

1. Implement likelihood to yield both a hybrid factor *and* a discrete factor.
2. Hide the constant inside the collection of *JacobianFactor* instances, which is the possibility we implement.

In either case, we implement the mixture factor  $E_{mf}(y, m)$  as a set of *JacobianFactor* instances  $E_{mf}(y, m)$ , indexed by the discrete assignment  $m$ :

$$E_{mf}(y, m) = E_{jfm}(y) = \frac{1}{2} \|A_m y - b_m\|_{\Sigma_{mf}}^2.$$

In GTSAM, we define  $A_m$  and  $b_m$  strategically to make the *JacobianFactor* compute the constant, as well:

$$\frac{1}{2} \|A_m y - b_m\|_{\Sigma_{mf}}^2 = C + E_{gcm}(\bar{x}, y) - K_{gcm}.$$

Substituting in the definition (3) for  $E_{gcm}(\bar{x}, y)$  we need

$$\frac{1}{2} \|A_m y - b_m\|_{\Sigma_{mf}}^2 = C + \frac{1}{2} \|R_m \bar{x} + S_m y - d_m\|_{\Sigma_m}^2 - K_{gcm}$$

which can be achieved by setting

$$A_m = \begin{bmatrix} S_m \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad b_m = \begin{bmatrix} d_m - R_m \bar{x} \\ c_m \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Sigma_{mf} = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_m & \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and setting the mode-dependent scalar  $c_m$  such that  $c_m^2 = C - K_{gcm}$ . This can be achieved by  $C = \max K_{gcm} = K_{gm}$  and  $c_m = \sqrt{2(C - K_{gcm})}$ . Note that in case that all constants  $K_{gcm}$  are equal, we can just use  $C = K_{gm}$  and

$$A_m = S_m, \quad b_m = d_m - R_m \bar{x}, \quad \Sigma_{mf} = \Sigma_m$$

as before.

In summary, we have

$$E_{mf}(y, m) = \frac{1}{2} \|A_m y - b_m\|_{\Sigma_{mf}}^2 = E_{gcm}(\bar{x}, y) + K_{gm} - K_{gcm}. \quad (9)$$

which is identical to the GaussianMixture error (6).